

13 OCT -3 AM 11:29
 DEPUTY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RODNEY E. AKINS,

Plaintiff,

v.

PENNY HEDGECOTH, MICHAEL
 TORRES, AND DOES 3, 5-10,

Defendants.

Case No. 12cv00576 BTM
 (WVG)

**ORDER DENYING
 PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
 APPOINTMENT OF PROCESS
 SERVER**

Plaintiff has filed a motion for appointment of a process server to personally serve Plaintiff's Summons and Third Amended Complaint on Defendants Hedgecoth and Torres. The motion is **DENIED** as being unnecessary. Defendants have been previously served with a Summons and copy of the Second Amended Complaint and no new defendants have been added in the Third Amended Complaint. (ECF no. 36, 37). "As long as the party to be served has appeared in the action, either through an attorney or in pro per, the amended pleading may be served by mail or by other means authorized by FRCP 5 It need not be accompanied by an additional summons." Judge William W. Schwarzer, et al., California Practice Guide: Federal Civil Procedure Before Trial § 8:1435 (The Rutter Group 2013). See also id. at § 8:1436; Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(b), (c).

DATED: October 2, 2013


 BARRY TED MOSKOWITZ, Chief Judge
 United States District Court